

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,174	08/18/2006	Fulvio Boldrini	2545-0511	4037
Timothy I Klir	7590 12/21/20	07	EXAMINER	
Timothy J Klima Harbin King & Klima			DEUBLE, MARK A	
500 Ninth Stre Washington, D			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
washington, 2	20003		3651	
_			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/590,174	BOLDRINI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark A. Deuble	3651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA is ions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply w	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M II, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,12,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2,3,6-11,13-16 and 18</u> is/are	objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:	•	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	1		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do2. Certified copies of the priority do		Application No			
		the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies n	ot received.			
Attachmen	' '	A\	v Summan (BTO 442)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	O-948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/18/2006</u> .	6) Other:				

10/590,174

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 5 depends from itself. It appears that claim 5 should depend from claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Applicant is advised that should claim 5 (rewritten to depend from claim 1 be found allowable, claim 15 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 3. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 12 is written as a dependent claim but it depends from no other claims. It appears that claim 12 should depend from claim 11. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 5, 12, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The scope of claims 5 and 12 is impossible to ascertain because of the problems with their dependency pointed out above.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the auxiliary, outfeed, and hold down belts" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that the claim should be amended to depend from claim 9 so that proper antecedent basis is provided.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the elevating platform" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that the claim should be amended to depend from claim 2 so that proper antecedent basis is provided.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT document number WO 01/85581 A1.

The PCT document shows a unit for feeding products P in an ordered succession. The conveying unit includes a conveyor unit 10 with pockets 24/25 that receives the products from a dispensing device (not shown) and transports them along a predetermined path to a transfer station 15 that in turn transports the products to an outfeed conveyor. The conveyor unit has a first belt 21a and a second belt 21b placed one beside the other and set in motion by independent drive means (see p. 11, ln. 13-15). The pockets are arranged in first and second groups alternated one with another along the predetermined path, each comprising a given number of pockets ordered at constant pitch and associated respectively with the first belt and second belt. While the mounting of the pockets on their respective conveyors is not shown in detail, it is

Art Unit: 3651

apparent that they each include supporting elements that are secured to the respective belt such that it is cantilevered to overlap the other belt (See Fig. 1). The transfer station includes a transfer unit operating between the conveyor unit and the outfeed conveyor in the form of transfer means 64 by which products are ejected from the pockets and transferred at predetermined intervals to the outfeed conveyor. The movement of the first and second belts and the transfer station must inherently be governed by a control unit (not shown) in such a way as to establish a predetermined phase relationship with the operating frequency of the ejection and transfer means. If some control did not establish this phase relationship, the apparatus would not achieve its stated operation. Thus the PCT document shows all the structure required by claims 1 and 4.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-3, 6-11 and 13-16, 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 5, 12, 17, and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Deuble whose telephone number is (571) 272-6912. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

10/590,174

Art Unit: 3651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark A. Deuble Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

md